June 24, 2022

TO: Supervisor Holly J. Mitchell, Chair
Supervisor Hilda L. Solis
Supervisor Sheila Kuehl
Supervisor Janice Hahn
Supervisor Kathryn Barger
Fesia Davenport, Chief Executive Officer
Dawyn Harrison, Acting County Counsel
Press Room

FROM: Celia Zavala
Executive Officer

POSTED: June 24, 2022

RE: Corrections and/or additions to the agenda for the Board meeting of Tuesday, June 28, 2022.

9. TAKE OFF CALENDAR:

The following item will be taken off calendar due to the withdrawal of the appeal:

Hearing on Appeal of Project No. R2018-003354-(3) for the Single-Family Residence in the Community of Agoura Hills

Hearing on appeal of Project No. R2018-003354-(3), Conditional Use Permit No. RPPL2019000069-(3) and Administrative Oak Tree Permit No. RPPL2020006792-(3), to authorize a 5,214 sq ft single-family residence, including a 1,176 sq ft garage, swimming pool, decks and patios, 1,260 cubic yards of grading, associated infrastructure and the
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retroactive removal of one oak tree, in the Resort and Recreation-One Acre Minimum Required Lot Area (R-R-1) Zone, located at 29153 Crags Drive, in the unincorporated community of Agoura Hills within the Malibu Zoned District, applied for by Rick and Jill Coop. (Appeal from the Regional Planning Commission’s approval.) (Department of Regional Planning) (Continued from the meeting of 3-15-22)

(22-1067)

Board Letter
Public Comment/Correspondence

12. REVISE:

Creating Los Angeles County’s Adult Protective Services Center of Excellence

Revised recommendation as submitted by Supervisors Hahn and Kuehl: Instruct the Executive Director of the Aging Branch of Workforce Development, Aging and Community Services to work with the Acting County Counsel to release a Request for Proposals to create the Los Angeles County Adult Protective Services Center of Excellence. (22-2432)

Motion by Supervisor Hahn
Public Comment/Correspondence
Revised motion by Supervisors Hahn and Kuehl
17. REVISE:

Skid Row Action Plan: Improving Service to Skid Row Residents by Addressing Homelessness Stemming from Decades of Institutional Racism

Revised recommendation as submitted by Supervisor Solis: Instruct the Director of Health Services, through the Housing for Health Department, in conjunction with the Chief Executive Officer-Homeless Initiative, to convene working groups including representatives from the City of Los Angeles' Mayor’s Office, Los Angeles City Council District 14, the Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority, … ; instruct the Directors of Public Social Services, Mental Health and Public Health and the Acting Director of Workforce Development, Aging and Community Services, and the Interim Executive Directors of the Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority, to appoint representatives to the working groups to participate, identify, leverage and bring new resources to Skid Row; … (22-2445)

Motion by Supervisor Solis
Public Comment/Correspondence
Revised motion by Supervisor Solis

18. CORRECTION:

Completing the Return of Bruce’s Beach to the Legal Heirs of Charles and Willa Bruce

Recommendation as submitted by Supervisors Mitchell and Hahn: Adopt a resolution making findings in support of, and approving and authorizing, the return of Lots 8 and 9 of Peck’s Manhattan Beach Tract Block 5 (Property) to the legal heirs of Charles and Willa Bruce; approve and establish the proposed Bruce’s Beach, Capital Project (CP) No. 70033 in the amount of $21,500,000; … 4-VOTES 3-VOTES (22-2437)

Motion by Supervisors Mitchell and Hahn
Public Comment/Correspondence
20. **REVISE:**

**Improving School Climate and Safety**

*Revised* recommendation as submitted by Supervisors Mitchell and Solis: Approve the Sheriff's Department’s Board Letters dated June 14, 2022 entitled, “Approval of School Law Enforcement Services Agreement for School Resource Deputy Program” (Agenda No. 58), and “Approval of School Supplemental Law Enforcement Services Agreement for Special Events” (Agenda No. 59), with the following revisions to the recommended actions of each Board letter: (Continued from the meeting of 6-14-22) (Relates to Agenda Nos. 58 and 59)

Approval of School Law Enforcement Services Agreement for School Resource Deputy Program (Agenda No. 58):

1. Approve a boilerplate School Agreement for the period from July 1, 2022, through June 30, 2024, unless sooner terminated, for the provision of full-time law enforcement services on school campuses through the Department's School Resource Deputy Program (School Program);

2. Authorize the Sheriff to execute School Agreements, substantially similar to the attached School Agreement, with school districts in the County requesting full-time law enforcement services, effective July 1, 2022, or upon execution by the Sheriff, whichever is later, through June 30, 2024, unless sooner terminated or extended;

3. Authorize the Sheriff to execute any and all amendments to the School Agreements, ensuring any negative fiscal impact to the County is avoided;

Approval of School Supplemental Law Enforcement Services Agreement for Special Events (Agenda No. 59):

1. Approve a boilerplate School Supplemental Agreement for the period from July 1, 2022, through June 30, 2024, unless sooner terminated or extended for the provision of
as-needed supplemental law enforcement services during special events on or near school campuses;

2. Authorize the Sheriff to execute School Supplemental Agreements substantially similar to the attached School Supplemental Agreement, with schools and school districts in the County requesting such as-needed supplemental law enforcement services, effective July 1, 2022, or upon execution by the Sheriff, whichever is later, through June 30, 2024, unless sooner terminated or extended; and

3. Authorize the Sheriff to execute any and all amendments to the School Supplemental Agreements, ensuring any negative fiscal impact to the County is avoided;

Instruct the Sheriff, in consultation with the Inspector General, to implement the following recommendations as identified in the OIG report entitled, “Allegations of Racial Disparities in Contacts with High School Students by the Sheriff’s Department’s Lancaster Station,” and report back to the Board in writing in 120 days on its progress; and to reduce the potential racial disparities in the process of referring incidents to School Resource Deputies (SRDs), the Sheriff should work with its County partners and community-based organization stakeholders to formulate standardized referral guidelines with school administrators, including the following:

SRDs should not administer formal school discipline such as detentions, suspensions or expulsions and these decisions are the sole responsibility of the school administrators and personnel;

The responsibility and decision to arrest lies solely with the SRD, respective to the Federal and State constitutions, State law, County ordinances and the Sheriff’s Department’s policies and procedures, and collaboration with school personnel and the SRDs’ understanding of each student’s needs may impact the decision to arrest but the responsibility is that of the SRD alone; and it is recommended that all SRD contracts clearly define the roles of the school administrators and SRDs to ensure that all
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district policies, Department policies, local laws, State laws and Federal laws are followed and implement new policies to better define each parties roles and procedures, if necessary;

SRDs should be specially trained in school-based policing, as their duties and responsibilities are inherently different than those of general patrol deputies, and these trainings should be conducted in collaboration with County and community-based service providers who have explicit knowledge in restorative and justice practices for youth; including the following:

SRDs should have a working knowledge of: Constitutional and state law, restorative justice practices, cultural competency, youth de-escalation strategies, adolescent mental health issues, crisis planning, crime prevention and mitigation, active threat response, juvenile interview and investigations, crime prevention, patrol operations, advocacy within the juvenile justice system, and mandatory reporting;

SRDs should also be properly trained in empowering youth, promoting resiliency, overall wellness, adolescent brain development, youth social emotional development, recognizing and supporting diversity, improving youth decision-making skills, and youth trauma-informed practices;

It is recommended that the school administrator and personnel who will be partnering with the SRD attend the SRD training program;

The Sheriff should work with its County partners and community-based organizations to expand its training curriculum to educate all patrol-related deputies on their opportunity to act as gateways for at-risk youth to non-criminal County services and training should be refreshed on a regular basis; and

Instruct the Sheriff to require all deputies serving as SRDs to undergo additional training consistent with best
practices including, but not limited to, training on Mental Evaluation Team standards, tactics and practices, to reduce instances of uses of force and the over-criminalization of student behavior while reducing justice system involvement and protecting the constitutional rights of students;

The Sheriff should comply with Government Code Section 25303.7 and Penal Code Section 13510.8(8) and provide unimpeded access to government records and personnel to permit the Inspector General to discharge its monitoring and investigative duties;

The Sheriff’s Department should conduct a quarterly comprehensive audit of data points, with review and oversight by the Inspector General, relating to SRD contacts with youth in the Computer Aided Dispatch System and reconcile those totals with the data reflected in the Sheriff’s Automated Contact Reporting (SACR) system to verify accuracy; including the following:

SRD contacts with students precipitated by a request for service from school staff that require an entry into the SACR system (regardless of the manner of how the assistance was requested) should be identified as a “call for service;”

The Sheriff should provide the Inspector General with viewing access to all body-worn camera videos and amend its audit policy to provide the Inspector General with unrestricted viewing access;

Instruct the Inspector General, in consultation with the Sheriff, the Acting County Counsel and other relevant County Departments, to analyze SRD contacts for all school districts contracting with the Sheriff’s Department for the 2021-22 school year to determine if similar disparities in contacts, arrests, suspensions and expulsions exist outside of Lancaster and report back to the Board in writing in 270 days on their findings, with the information to be shared publicly, as allowed by law, with the school districts, administrators and with the public through the Sheriff Civilian Oversight Commission, within no less
than 30 days of the issuance of the report back;

Instruct the Sheriff to provide the Inspector General with accurate data, reflecting all SRD contacts, on a quarterly basis, including all data points identified in the Board Order No. 2 of June 8, 2021 entitled, “Strengthening Oversight of School Law Enforcement Services;

Further, instruct the Sheriff to report back to the Board, Inspector General and Sheriff COC on a quarterly basis, data on the number of student contacts with sworn personnel providing services under these Agreements, broken down by racial, sexual orientation, gender identity, gender expression and other demographic categories, arrests and other contact types, and types of incidents where the Sheriff’s Department’s response and services are requested including, but not limited to, “willful defiance,” “classroom disruption,” “prohibited item,” “fights and assaults,” with the data to be made available on the County’s Open Data Portal in a reasonably timely manner, and instruct the County’s Acting Chief Information Officer to report back to the Board in writing in 90 days with recommendations on how to ensure that the reporting of this data aligns with other County efforts to publicly share justice related data, with the goal of making this information publicly accessible;

Pursuant to Government Code 25303 and County Code Section 6.44.190, instruct the Sheriff to provide body-worn camera footage, as well as the name of the Deputy or Deputies responding to an incident or request for service, in addition to other requests by the Inspector General and the Sheriff COC for the purpose of performing oversight duties, to the Inspector General and COC within 10 days upon their request, excluding requests from the Sheriff COC for records that cannot be legally shared with the Sheriff COC because they are confidential;

Require the Sheriff to return to the Board for approval of new future agreements with each school district for school law enforcement services no later than 90 days in advance of the effective date of each agreement;

Instruct the Executive Director of the Sheriff Civilian Oversight Commission and the Acting County Counsel, in consultation with the
Superintendent of Schools of the Los Angeles County Office of Education; Interim Director of the Office of Diversion and Re-Entry (ODR), the Inspector General, and then the Director of Youth Development, once their tenure begins; they are hired, the Inspector General, Director of the Office of Violence Prevention, the Sheriff, impacted school districts and other relevant County and community stakeholders, to report back to the Board in writing in 180 days with language to amend the agreements under Agenda No. 58 and Agenda No. 59 revising the “Scope of Services” section, to be incorporated into the extension option amendment, that does the following:

More clearly describes the duties of sworn personnel assigned to the School Resource Deputy SRD program, with consideration for the developmental needs of students and how sworn personnel can avoid responding to situations or requests for service in situations that do not require a law enforcement response, including situations involving forms of minor misbehavior or other situations risking unnecessary escalation or criminalization of students, in addition, this language should address the qualifications and experience that a Deputy needs to serve as an SRD School Resource Deputy, clearly explain any disqualifying factors, and address the need for developmentally appropriate, trauma-informed training; and

Ensures a more accessible, trauma-informed, user-friendly and developmentally appropriate process for students to make complaints or commendations, with consideration for the need to keep the identity of a student submitting a complaint or commendation confidential, unless otherwise required by law or Court order, with various issues to be considered, including mistrust of the complaint system, that could deter young people from filing a complaint, the need for a policy and practice for processing verbal complaints, as well as complaints shared or submitted on a student’s behalf, and the need to protect students and those who submit complaints on their behalf from possible targeting or retaliation, including in instances where a complaint is not initially submitted through a formal process; and

Instruct the Interim Director of the Office of Diversion and Re-Entry and then the Director of Youth Development as their tenure begins.
within 90 days of the beginning of their tenure, to work with school districts located within the Second Supervisorial District that contract for the law enforcement services provided under Agenda No. 58 and Agenda No. 59 and take the following actions:

Discuss the possibility of the Youth Diversion and Development Division (YDDD) of the Office of Diversion and Re-Entry providing diversion services through its contracted providers for students ages 12 and older, and negotiate and draft a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the YDDD, which will become the new Department of Youth Development (DYD) once it is established, each respective school district, and other relevant parties, for such services unless deemed not appropriate by the Interim Director of the Office of Diversion and Re-Entry, or the soon-to-be-hired Director of the DYD as their employment begins and the DYD is established;

Discuss the possibility of the YDDD providing youth development services once launched through its contracted providers and negotiate and draft an MOU for the provision of youth development services between the YDDD, which will become the new DYD, once it is established, each respective school district, and other relevant parties, to be entered into as soon as the Youth Development Network of services is established for that region;

Discuss the possibility of YDDD providing trainings, through a contracted provider, to school staff in restorative practices to expand on the methods for harm prevention and in-house conflict intervention skills as an internal resource and alternative to engaging SRDs, and negotiate and draft any written agreements needed to provide these trainings, additionally, these trainings should support the implementation of the diversion and youth development services provided under the MOU referenced above; and

Further instruct the Director of Youth Development, as their employment begins, or any assigned interim proxy, to report back to the Board in writing in 120 days with the draft MOU and any other written agreements needed to provide the services
referenced above and notify the Board as to whether the school
districts in the Second Supervisorial District that contract for the
law enforcement services provided under Agenda No. 58 and
Agenda No. 59 have signed these agreements. (22-2283)

Motion by Supervisor Mitchell
Public Comment/Correspondence
Revised motion by Supervisors Mitchell and Solis

40. CORRECTION:

Physician Services, Training Programs and Funding Affiliation
Agreement Amendment

Recommendation: Find that the provision of physician services, trainee
and subspecialty non-trainee, at Harbor-UCLA Medical Center
(H-UCLA MC) and Olive View-UCLA Medical Center (OV-UCLA MC)
can be performed more feasibly by contracting with the outside
economically by an independent contractor; … (22-2357)

Board Letter
Public Comment/Correspondence
71-A. **Authority to Move Forward with Pretrial Reform Efforts in Los Angeles County**

Recommendation as submitted by Supervisors Kuehl and Solis: Authorize the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Probation Officer and the Executive Director of the Information System Advisory Body, in consultation with the Acting County Counsel, to enter into an agreement with the Los Angeles County Superior Court to accept a financial allocation to continue and expand the Court’s Pretrial Release Evaluation Program (PREP) pilot, including authorizing the Chief Executive Officer to approve necessary amendments, extensions or changes in scope of the agreement; authorize the Chief Executive Officer to negotiate, execute, amend, modify and/or extend agreements with community-based organizations, including any existing third-party administrator agreements or master service agreements, to provide any necessary services consistent with the PREP and the efforts to build capacity of community-based organizations; authorize, once established, the Director or Interim Director, of the Justice, Care and Opportunities Department, to negotiate, execute, amend, modify and extend any agreements with the Court, third-party administrator agreements, master service agreements and/or community-based organizations to accomplish the goals of the PREP, manage and oversee the PREP for the County and determine over time if any changes or expansion of the program is necessary, and make such recommendations to the Board; instruct the Chief Executive Officer and the Acting County Counsel to consult with the Public Defender, Alternative Public Defender, District Attorney, Chief Probation Officer, Los Angeles City Attorney and the Los Angeles County Bar Association, to determine if a new agreement or an updated Memorandum of Understanding on Pretrial Assessment Interview Confidentiality executed by the above-listed parties in 2020 for the PREP requires amendment; and do the following:

If a new agreement or an amendment is determined necessary, direct the Acting County Counsel to draft the agreement;
Authorize the Chief Executive Officer, Public Defender, Alternative Public Defender, District Attorney and Chief Probation Officer to execute, amend and/or extend the agreement with the Los Angeles City Attorney and Los Angeles County Bar Association; and

Authorize, once established, the Director or Interim Director, of Justice, Care and Opportunities Department to amend, extend or modify the confidentiality agreement as may be needed for the operation of the PREP. (22-2477)

Motion by Supervisors Kuehl and Solis
Public Comment/Correspondence
71-B. Waiver of Permit Fee for the West Los Angeles Community Coalition's Farmers' Market Use of Parking Lots at the former West Los Angeles Courthouse

Recommendation as submitted by Supervisor Kuehl: Find that the portion of the West Los Angeles Courthouse parking lot located at 1633 Purdue Avenue in the City of Los Angeles, which is proposed to support the weekly West Los Angeles Farmers’ Market, is not currently needed for exclusive County purposes during the specific hours of operation of the farmers' market; find that the services to be provided by the West Los Angeles Community Coalition, are necessary to meet the social needs of the County and serve public purposes which benefit the County; find that the proposed actions are exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act; and take the following actions:

Authorize the Chief Executive Officer to negotiate and execute a gratis permit through the end of June 2023 with West Los Angeles Community Coalition, which will allow the weekly use of the West Los Angeles Courthouse parking lots every Sunday for the period of July 3, 2022 through June 30, 2023; and execute any other ancillary documentation necessary to effectuate the Permit Agreement and take any other actions necessary and appropriate to implement and effectuate the Permit; and

Waive the $200 per day permit fee at the West Los Angeles Courthouse parking lot, excluding the cost of liability insurance and cleaning deposit, for the West Los Angeles Farmers' Market, to be held on Sundays from July 3, 2022 and ending on June 30, 2023. (22-2476)

Motion by Supervisor Kuehl
Public Comment/Correspondence
71-C. Five-Signature Letter in Support of State of California Senate Constitutional Amendment 10

Recommendation as submitted by Supervisor Hahn: Direct the Chief Executive Officer, through the Legislative Affairs and Intergovernmental Relations Division, to send a five-signature letter to Senate President Pro Tempore Toni Atkins and Assembly Speaker Anthony Rendon, in support of State Senate Constitutional Amendment 10, to protect the right to abortion and contraception; and direct the County’s Legislative Advocates in Sacramento to support State Senate Constitutional Amendment 10, with the goal of being approved by the Legislature by June 30, 2022, in order for the Amendment to be eligible for the November 2022 ballot. (22-2479)

Motion by Supervisor Hahn
Revised motion by Supervisor Hahn
Public Comment/Correspondence

71-D. Reviewing Eviction Moratorium Impacts on Property Owners Under the County’s Tenant Protections

Recommendation as submitted by Supervisor Barger: Direct the Chief Executive Officer, in coordination with the Executive Director of the Los Angeles County Development Authority, the Director of Consumer and Business Affairs, and other relevant Departments, in consultation with the Acting County Counsel, to report back to the Board in writing in 30 days on the following:

- Identification of other existing State programs or funding available to landlords/rental property owners who have been impacted by the pandemic and any proposed programs/legislation designed to provide support to property owners and their tenants;

- A survey of eviction protections implemented nationwide, including comparing the impacts of eviction protections on local jurisdictions and data on jurisdictions that have lifted their protections; and
Recommendations for a financial planning assistance program designed to help COVID-19 impacted tenants plan and prepare for budgeting and debt repayment; and

Instruct the Acting County Counsel to report back to the Board in writing on the status of legal challenges to the County’s tenant protections, and a legal analysis, assessment of potential exposure to the County and recommendations for the implementation of an earlier phase out plan of the County’s tenant protections. (22-2478)

Motion by Supervisor Barger

Motion by Supervisor Kuehl

Public Comment/Correspondence

71-E. Los Angeles County’s Support for Gun Safety Laws in California

Recommendation as submitted by Supervisors Solis and Hahn: Direct the Chief Executive Officer, through the Legislative Affairs and Intergovernmental Relations Division, to support Assembly Bills 1621 (Gipson), 1594 (Ting), 2571 (Bauer-Kahan), 2156 (Wicks), 2552 (McCarty), 2239 (Maienschein), 1929 (Gabriel), 2870 (Santiago) and 1227 (Levine) and Senate Bill 1327 (Hertzberg and Portantino), legislation which help make Californians safer from gun violence. (22-2471)

Motion by Supervisors Solis and Hahn

Public Comment/Correspondence